

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,274	08/01/2003	Luigi Cicinnati	U 014747-0	3377
7590 11/02/2004			EXAMINER	
Ladas & Parry			HARTMANN, GARY S	
26 West 61 Street New York, NY 10023			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3671	
		DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/633,274	CICINNATI, LUIGI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Gary Hartmann	3671			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH THE   - External after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply opened for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinged patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	÷					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 September 2004.  This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	<ul> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>✓ 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Applicati	on Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 February 2004</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 6/1/4.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa				

Application/Control Number: 10/633,274

Art Unit: 3671

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed June 1, 2004 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

#### Claim Objections

Claim 11 is objected to because there is no structure recited; therefore, it is an improper claim. It does not further limit parent claim 1. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 8, 9, 11-13, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Camp (U.S. Patent 2,167,635).

Camp discloses a front impact damper having a vertical supporting member (11) with a bottom end which may be firmly secured in the ground. There are outer (12) and inner (15)

Application/Control Number: 10/633,274

Art Unit: 3671

deformable tubular members which are at a given height when the end of the supporting member is secured to the ground (Figure 3, for example). The outer member (12) has an outer lateral surface secured at a point to the vertical supporting member (Figures 5 and 7). The inner member (15c) is inside the outer member so as to rest one against the other at the point at which the outer deformable member is secured to the vertical member (Figure 7).

The members are fixed vertically to the vertical supporting member (Figure 5).

There is a mechanical clearance between the deformable tubular members.

The members are tangent at the point.

There is a metal bar (16) which fixes the tubular members.

Regarding claims 9 and 20, the outer tubular member meets the recitations regarding the "collapsible spacer member."

Regarding claim 11, a single damper of Camp meets the recitation of "a number."

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-7, 10 and 14-18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Camp, as applied above.

Camp does not teach the corrugated shape; however, it is well known in the impact damper art to use this shape in order to obtain a strong and inexpensive apparatus. For this

Application/Control Number: 10/633,274

Art Unit: 3671

purpose, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a corrugated shape with the device of Camp.

Regarding claim 10, Camp only shows two tubular members; however, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used three tubular members in order to obtain a device capable of withstanding stronger impacts than those described in the specification of Camp. Note that this is simply a duplication of existing parts, which does not patentably distinguish the apparatus in this instance.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Hartmann whose telephone number is 703-305-4549. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached on 703-308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

gh

Gary Hartmann Primary Examiner Art Unit 3671